APPENDIX 13: Consultation on the Alcohol and Dog Control PSPOs for the London Borough of Haringey

Results Report

Purpose

A public consultation was undertaken following approval from a full Cabinet meeting of 10 March 2020, in accordance with section 72 of the Antisocial Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014. The consultation sought to obtain public views, on the following proposals: -

- To extend the 11 Alcohol PSPOs for a further three years
- To extend the boundary of the Woodside Ward Alcohol PSPO
- To extend the Borough wide Dog Control PSPO for a further three years
- To add a new requirement to the Dog Control PSPO which would require "a person in charge of a dog on land to which the Order applies to produce on request a means or device to pick up dog faeces deposited by that dog."

Executive Summary

There were 2610 responses to the consultation and 40 additional emails and letters. This report provides detailed analysis of the consultation results. An analysis of additional 1029 other comments within the surveys is summarised in Table 3 at pages 15 to 24 of this report. Additional emails, calls and letters are itemised in the Table 4 at pages 25 to 35 of this report.

Of those respondents who told us the capacity in which they were responding to the survey questionnaire, 98% were residents of Haringey.

The headline results of the consultation include:

- 854 people living, working or visiting the one of the 11 Alcohol control PSPO wards responded to the consultation survey 83.7% agreed that we should have a PSPO to deal with street drinking; 82.4% agreed that the PSPO affecting the area they live, work or visit should be extended for a further 3 years?

- Just over half the respondents to the alcohol control survey agreed with the proposal that the PSPO in the Woodside Ward should be extended down Lordship Lane, to include Chapmans Green Park and the roads around the periphery of the park (57%); although not particularly high it is noted that 34.3% of respondents had no opinion in respect of this point.

- 98.9% of respondents to the Dog Control PSPO survey were Haringey residents and an overwhelming majority were not dog owners

- An overwhelming majority of respondents agreed that the following conditions of the Dog Control PSPO should remain in place: -
    - Dog owners or the person in charge of a dog are required to remove faeces (dog mess) from any land which is open to the air and to which the public have access (97%)

  - Dogs are excluded from fenced play areas set aside for children and marked sports pitches when in use. (92.3%)
  - Dogs must be on a lead in churchyards, graveyards, highways, grass verges, green space less than half a hectare (89.6%)
  - Dog owners to put their dog on a lead when directed to do so by an authorised officer, when on any land to which the public have access and where a dog is considered to be out of control. (94.6%)

- 65.7% of respondents agreed that the condition that one person is permitted to walk a maximum of six dogs should remain in place. 25% of respondents supported this condition being varied with the vast majority supporting that the maximum number be reduced to 4 or less.

- 95.2% of respondents agreed with the proposed new requirement for a person in charge of a dog to carry a means or device to pick up dog faeces, when exercising their dog(s)

Background

1.0 On 20th October 2017 Haringey replaced its 11 Designated Public Places Orders (also known as Alcohol Control Zones) and Dog Control Orders, with Public Spaces Protection Orders, with requirements and prohibition mirroring the previous Alcohol Control Zones and Dog Control Orders. A PSPO remains in place for three years unless discharged by the Local Authority. The existing PSPOs enacted on the 20th October 2017, relating to alcohol and dog control expire on the 19th October 2020.

2.0 The purpose of this consultation was to gather views on a proposal to extend the current Alcohol and Dog Control PSPOs for a further three years, vary the Woodside Alcohol Control PSPO and add a new requirement to the Dog Control Order.

3.0 The consultation sought the views of those who are or may be affected by the extension and/or variation of these PSPOs and other stakeholders. This included those in the locality such as local residents, visitors or those who work or study in the area, community groups, local businesses, key partners, such as parks services/associations, animal charities and vets, and statutory consultees. The consultation sought to specifically obtain the views of dog owners about the proposed new requirement of the Dog Control Order, which would require dog owners to carry bags or a means to pick up dog mess.

4.0 The consultation encouraged respondents to make comments with regard to how they feel about the PSPOs, any proposed variations and any suggestions for further variations.

Methodology

1.0 The Consultation process began on the 7 July 2020. And continued for 6 weeks.

2.0 Details of the consultation were available online and an online questionnaire were activated. A copy of the Questionnaires is attached at pages 46 to 59.

3.0 A consultation Flyer publicising the consultation and methods to respond was produced. A copy of the Flyer is attached at page 60 of this report.

4.0 A Consultation Letter was delivered to 119,000 households in the borough. A copy of the Consultation letter distributed is attached at page 61 of this report.

5.0 The consultation flyer was: -

- Distributed on the street in key high footfall areas: Wood Green High Road, Wood green tube station, Seven Sisters Road.
- Displayed in parks and area to be extend in Woodside Ward
- Delivered to vets in east, west and north patches

6.0 A Consultation Letter/flyer was provided (by hand or via post/websites) to: -

- Dog walker groups/clubs within the borough
- The Kennel Club
- RSPCA and Dogs Trust
- Wood Green Animal Shelter

7.0 A Consultation letter was: -

- Delivered to all business in High Roads and Main Road
- Sent out to landowners and social landlords within the borough
- Sent out to all licensed premises. A copy of this consultation letter is attached at page 62 of this report

8.0 All councillors across the borough were notified.

9.0 To ensure the consultation gave equal opportunity to all those who might want to submit responses in different ways and different formats, the online survey was also made available as a paper copy and responses and views could also be made via email, direct to the lead officer by email Allison.pibworth@haringey.gov.uk

10.0 The consultation was open to all and respondents were asked to specify: -

- Which of the 11 alcohol PSPO affected wards they live, work or visited
- If they have been affected by any specific alcohol related Anti-social behaviour
- If they are a Haringey resident
- If they are, have been or plan to be a dog owner

Thus, assisting the Council to better understand any impact, variations in impact and opinion.

Data Analysis

1.0 The Consultation comprised of three separate surveys; respondent could complete all surveys or just the one's most relevant to them. In total, the Council received 2610 responses to this consultation. 2607 of these were completed

online and a further 3 were completed on paper. The breakdown of responses per survey is below

Alcohol Control PSPO Survey	854
Dog Control PSPO Survey	1142
Dog Control PSPO – New requirement	614

1.1 A demographic profile of respondents can be found in Tables 5 to 6 in pages 36 to 45 of this report.

1.2 The Council also received emails and letters from a further 40 Respondents; 34 Borough residents, 2 councillors and one from someone who works in the borough. The summary and key points of these contacts are itemised in Table 4 which can be found at pages 25 to 35 of this report.

2.0 Alcohol Control Order Survey Results

2.1 The consultation process wanted to specifically identify people living, visiting and working within the affected areas of the alcohol control PSPO to be extended, as they would be expected to be most affected by the extension of the Order. To ensure their views were fully represented respondents to the questionnaire were asked to identify which of the 11 PSPO wards they lived, visited or worked within.

Q1. There are 11 PSPOs in the borough governing the control of alcohol. Which ward do you live work or visit?

WARD	Number of responses
Bounds Green	100
Bruce Grove	65
Harringay	308
Noel Park (PSPO covers the whole ward)	57
Northumberland Park (PSPO covers the whole ward)	29
St Ann's	36
Seven Sisters (PSPO covers the whole ward)	47
Tottenham Green (PSPO covers the whole ward)	30
Tottenham Hale	9
West Green Road	59
Woodside - PSPO extension	114
TOTAL	854

2.2 The responses to the other 5 key questions of the Consultation Questionnaire reaped an overwhelming support for the extension of the 11 alcohol control PSPOs for a further three years and the extension of the boundary of the Woodside Ward Alcohol Control PSPO. The overall responses are itemised below, and the ward-based totals can be found in the Table 1 on page 13 - 15

Q2. Do you believe there is a problem with alcohol related anti-social behaviour in your ward?

YES	572	67%
NO	158	18.5%
DON'T KNOW	124	14.5%

Q3. Do you think we should have a PSPO to deal with street drinking?

YES	715	83.7%
NO	106	12.4%
DON'T KNOW	33	3.9%

Q4. Do you agree that the PSPO where you live, work or visit should be extended for a further 3 years?

YES, remain in place	704	82.4%
Be Varied	36	4.2%
Be discharged	79	9.3%
No Opinion	35	4.1%

Q5. Do you agree that the boundary of the PSPO in the Woodside Ward should be extended down Lordship Lane, to include Chapmans Green Park and the roads around the periphery of the park as shown on the map?

YES, the boundary be extended	493	57.7%
Be Varied further	15	1.8%
Not to be extended	53	6.2%
No Opinion	293	34.3%

Q6. Do you agree that the PSPOs in the other wards should be extended for a further 3 years?

YES, remain in place	681	79.7%
Be Varied further	23	2.7%
Be discharged	63	7.4%
No Opinion	87	10.2%

2.3 Respondents were also asked about what alcohol related anti-social behaviour they had experienced. The results of this are itemised in Table 2, which can be found at page 14 of this report.

2.4 There were 308 additional comments on the Alcohol control PSPO survey. The summary of these comments is itemised in Table 3 at pages 15 to 17 of this report.

2.5 The Demographic Profile of all respondents to the Alcohol Control PSPO consultation survey can be viewed in the table 5 attached at pages 36 to 38 of this report.

3.0. Dog Control PSPO Survey Results

3.1 The consultation process wanted to identify Haringey residents as such individual would be expected to be most affected by the extension of the Borough-wide, Dog Control PSPO. Also, dog owners may feel that the proposed extension of the Dog Control PSPO may impact them differently. To ensure residents and dog owners views were fully represented, the survey questionnaire asked respondents to state whether or not they were a Haringey resident and whether or not the respondent was a dog owner, had owned a dog or was planning to own a dog.

Q1. Are you a Haringey resident?

YES	1130	98.9%
NO	12	1.1%

Q2. Are you a dog owner?

YES	152	13.3%
NO	805	70.5%
Previously Owned a dog	113	9.9%
Thinking of getting a dog	72	6.3%

3.2 The responses to the other 5 key questions of the Dog Control PSPO survey reaped an overwhelming support for the extension of the dog control PSPOs.

TOTAL 1142	Remain in place	Be discharged (ended as no longer needed)	No opinion	Be varied	BLANK
Q3.It is a condition that dog owners or the person in charge of a dog are required to remove faeces (dog mess) from any land which is open to the air and to which the public have access. Do you think that this condition should	1107	6	2	23	4
	97%	0.5%	0.2%	2.0%	0.3%
Q4. Dogs are excluded from fenced play areas set aside for children and marked sports pitches when in use. The full list is outlined in the Order. Do you think this condition should	1054	15	14	44	15
	92.3%	1.3%	1.2%	3.9%	1.3%
Q5. Dogs must be on a lead in churchyards, graveyards, highways, grass verges, green space less than half a hectare	1023	28	19	60	12
	89.6%	2.5%	1.7%	5.2%	1.0%
Q6. It is a condition that dog owners put their dog on a lead when directed to do so by an authorised officer. This applies to any land to which the public have access and where a dog is considered to be out of control. Do you think this condition should	1080	11	11	27	13
	94.6%	1.0%	1.0%	2.3%	1.1%
Q7. The maximum number of dogs that can be walked by one person is six (this applies to any land open to the air to which the public have access). Do you think this condition should	750	22	59	284	27
	65.7%	1.9%	5.1%	25%	2.3%

3.2 With regard to responses to question seven regarding the maximum number of dogs that can be walked by one person is six, there is a significant drop in the agreement for this requirement to remain in place. The main reason for this appears to be owing to a high number of respondents requesting this be varied. Of the 282 respondents asking for this requirement to be varied 276 commented that the maximum number of dogs that can be walked by one person should be reduced to less than six, with the vast majority of these respondents suggesting that four dogs would be a more appropriate maximum. In total, there were 319 additional comments in responding to this question. 94% of all respondents making an additional comment, specified that the maximum number of dogs that can be walked by one person should be less than six or reduced depending on the size, place or ability of the dog walker to control the dogs. This represent almost 1 in 4 of all survey respondents. The breakdown of is detailed in the table below:

The maximum number of dogs that can be walked by one person	
Less than 6 but number not specified	75
Maximum 4 or 5	6
Maximum 4	117

Maximum 3	49
2 or less	35
Depends on size or breed	8
Depends on place dog being exercised	3
Depends on ability of dog walker to control dog e.g. if a professional walker	7
Other comments include: Maximum number not necessary; Number not relevant if dogs are on lead; Restriction could impact professional dog walkers.	19

3.3. There were 561 other comments on the Dog control PSPO survey. 319 other comments related to the maximum number of dogs that can be walked by one person as detailed above. The remaining other comments in relation to the other key survey questions are summarised in Table 3 which can be found at pages 18 to 21 of this report.

3.4. The Demographic Profile of all respondents to the Dog Control PSPO consultation survey can be viewed in Table 6 which is attached at pages 39 to 42 of this report.

4.0. Dog Control PSPO – Additional Requirement Survey Results

4.1 The vast majority of respondents participating in the Dog Control PSPO new requirement survey were Haringey resident but not dog owners

Q1. Are you a Haringey Resident?

YES	598	97.4%
NO	13	2.1%
BLANK	3	0.5%

Q2. Are you a dog owner?

YES	83	13.51%
NO	424	69.1%
Previously Owned a Dog	69	11.2%
Thinking of Getting a Dog	35	5.7%
BLANK	3	0.5%

4.2 There was also overwhelming support for introducing the new requirement, for a person in charge of a dog to carry a means or device to pick up dog faeces, when

exercising their dog(s)

Q3. A Person in charge of a dog can pick up dog faeces (poo) using dog poop bags, other bags, pooper scooper or other means. Should a person in charge of a dog carry a means or device to pick up dog faeces?

YES	585	95.2%
NO	25	4.1%
No Opinion	1	0.2%
BLANK	3	0.5%

4.3. The Demographic Profile all respondents to the Dog Control PSPO - New Requirement consultation survey can be viewed in the Table 7 which is attached at pages 43 to 45 of this report. Additional comments made by Respondents to the key questions and within the general comments box are recorded in in Table 3 at pages 22 to 24 of this report.

5.0 Additional emails and letters

5.1 The council also received a number of other emails and letters from 40 further Respondents, in addition to the main consultation survey results. These are summarised in the table below:

Key Themes	Number of responses
Supports extending duration of alcohol control PSPO	12
Supports extending duration of Dog control PSPO	12
Supports new requirement for a person in charge of a dog to carry bags or a means to pick up dog faeces	12
Proper disposal of dog faeces bags should also be enforced	1
Restricting the number of dogs that one person can walk to under 4	2
Dog owners should clean up after their dog	1
Dog owners should be required to do a dog training course and/or be better educated on dog ownership responsibility	2
Dogs should be on leads in sensitive areas	3
Dogs should be excluded from fenced children's play areas.	2
Public health consequences and environmental problems with dog faeces, and this may include bacteria and viruses harmful to humans.	1
People who never pick up their dog poo and get drunk in parks will continue to do so, order or no.	1
Ban alcohol in glass bottles outdoors	1

Key Themes	Number of responses
Opposes dog control PSPO	1
Opposes restrictions in cemetery, gardens or fenced children's areas	
Poor communication/inadequate Consultation	3
Existing restrictions not adhered to.	6
What is being done to police and enforce the PSPO's already in place and why breaches appear to go unchallenged or addressed? Why are Haringey extending PSPO's while apparently simultaneously failing to enforce existing ones? How will this be enforced?	6
Signs do not deter anything. Signs are vandalised. There are no police resources to patrol the areas regularly!	3
Proposed changes would be very unfair on all the homeless lives	1
more areas of Haringey's parks should be set aside as dog-free and other areas where dogs are allowed but only on a lead.	1
Race and class assumptions that appear to underlie the proposals. Why are the more working class and BAME areas in Haringey being included as proposed areas for PSPOs and not the more middle class and white areas? There is concern that this will not help with sensitivities about policing.	1
Include Other areas: Tottenhall Rec, Andy Ludlow House. Alexandra Ward, Stroud Green Ward, Stationers Park and Finsbury Park, The Paddock or Down Lane Park	8
Why not adopt a boroughwide approach to dealing with both dog control and alcohol?	2

NB: respondent may have made comments under more than one theme, so number will not add up to number of respondents

5.2 The summary and key points of these submissions can be found in Table 4 at pages 25 to 35 of this report.

TABLE 1: Alcohol Control PSPO Survey - Responses by Ward													
Alcohol Control Survey Results Total Online responses; 854		Bounds Green	Bruce Grove	Harringay	Noel Park	Northumberland Park	Seven Sisters	St Ann's	Tottenham Green	Tottenham Hale	West Green Road	Woodside	TOTALS
Q1. There are 11 PSPOs in the borough governing the control of alcohol. Which ward do you live work or visit?		100 11.7 %	65 7.6%	308 36.1 %	57 6.7	29 3.4	36 4.2	47 5.5	30 3.5	9 1%	59 7%	114 13.3	854
Q2. Do you believe there is a problem with alcohol related anti-social behaviour in your ward?	Yes	53	51	192	42	25	28	24	24	7	43	83	572 67%
	No	22	8	72	6	2	4	16	2	2	7	17	158 18.5%
	Don't Know	25	6	44	9	2	4	7	4	0	9	14	124 14.5%
Q3. Do you think we should have a PSPO to deal with street drinking?	Yes	83	55	243	51	28	32	35	28	7	55	98	715 83.7%
	No	15	7	51	4	1	3	8	2	2	3	10	106 12.4%
	Don't Know	2	3	14	2	0	1	4	0	0	1	6	33 3.9%

TABLE 1: Alcohol Control PSPO Survey - Responses by Ward													
Alcohol Control Survey Results Total Online responses; 854		Bounds Green	Bruce Grove	Harringay	Noel Park	Northumberland Park	Seven Sisters	St Ann's	Tottenham Green	Tottenham Hale	West Green Road	Woodside	TOTALS
Q4. Do you agree that the PSPO where you live, work or visit should be extended for a further 3 years?	YES, Remain in place	81	55	241	54	28	32	31	27	7	53	95	704 82.4%
	Be varied further	5	0	13	1	0	0	6	1	0	1	9	36 4.2%
	Be discharged	12	6	37	1	1	3	5	1	2	3	8	79 9.3%
	No Opinion	2	4	17	1	0	1	5	1	0	2	2	35 4.1%
Q5. Do you agree that the boundary of the PSPO in the Woodside Ward should be extended down Lordship Lane, to include Chapmans Green Park and the roads around the periphery of the park as shown on the map?	YES, the boundary be extended	54	41	151	43	17	18	22	14	6	38	89	493 57.7%
	Be varied further	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	15 1.8%
	Not be Extended	5	4	26	0	1	3	3	1	1	2	7	53 6.2%
	No Opinion	40	20	126	14	11	15	22	15	2	18	10	293 34.3%

TABLE 1: Alcohol Control PSPO Survey - Responses by Ward													
Alcohol Control Survey Results Total Online responses; 854		Bounds Green	Bruce Grove	Harringay	Noel Park	Northumberland Park	Seven Sisters	St Ann's	Tottenham Green	Tottenham Hale	West Green Road	Woodside	TOTALS
Q6. Do you agree that the PSPOs in the other wards should be extended for a further 3 years?	YES, Remain in place	79	54	227	51	28	31	32	27	7	49	96	681 79.7%
	Be varied further	1	0	12	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	4	23 2.7%
	Be Discharged	7	5	31	0	1	3	6	1	1	3	5	63 7.4%
	No Opinion	13	6	38	6	0	2	6	2	1	4	9	87 10.2%
Q9. I am responding to this consultation as a	Resident	99	64	303	54	27	34	45	30	9	58	110	833 97.5%
	Person who works in the borough	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	5 0.6%
	A Visitor to the borough	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 0.2%
	Local business	0	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6 0.7%
	Representative of local community group	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4 0.5%
	Councillor	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	4 0.5%

TABLE 2: Alcohol Related Anti-Social Behaviour experienced												
Have you personally experienced any of the following anti-social behaviour incidents related to the consumption of alcohol in Haringey in the last 12 months? Please tick all that apply		Bounds Green	Bruce Grove	Harringay	Noel Park	Northumberland Park	Seven Sisters	St Ann's	Tottenham Green	Tottenham Hale	West Green Road	Woodside	TOTALS
Adults drinking alcohol		53	50	175	43	24	24	24	26	5	43	83	550 64%
Young people drinking alcohol		45	34	148	30	13	15	19	15	3	30	57	409 48%
Threatening or intimidating behaviour by adults		19	35	91	27	18	17	17	15	3	30	47	319 37%
Threatening or intimidating behaviour by young people		20	23	68	15	13	8	11	10	2	21	32	223 26%
Noisy disturbance by adults who have been drinking alcohol		49	46	183	42	20	25	27	23	5	45	71	536 63%
Noisy disturbance by young people who have been drinking alcohol		43	33	144	32	14	13	19	17	3	31	47	396 46.4%
Alcohol litter in the street or park, estate		79	58	237	49	27	27	36	27	5	47	97	689 81%
Have not experienced any of the above		13	9	50	2	3	6	7	3	2	7	8	110 13%
Prefer not to say		2	1	5	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	14 1.6%

TABLE 3: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES	
Alcohol PSPO Survey	
Q4. Do you agree that the PSPO where you live, work or visit should be extended for a further 3 years?	
In the 'other' box beneath this question 164 respondents gave a comment.	
128 made comments in favour of the PSPO remaining in place, being extended further or enforcement strengthened	**The remaining 36 comments varied with some comments regarding the capacity of authorities to enforce the order, commenting that there are bigger problems with drug use or that it was an infringement of people's rights or discriminatory.**
There is ongoing trouble in our local small green Chapmans Green. Men gather there, occasionally women, drinking taking drugs, dealing. People with children avoid the area as it's intimidating- older people do too. There is constant littering and disgusting *There is a serious & daily problem with the consumption of alcohol and anti-social behaviour at the end of Lyndhurst road where it meets the High Road. Groups of men gather daily, consuming alcohol, leering at women, arguing & sometimes fighting with one.* *There are small groups gathering on the end of our road drinking, leaving cans and urinating. I can say people also feel intimidated when walking down the road*	*Only if being antisocial though. "I don't think drinking in the park should be banned - many people do not have the luxury of a garden so a green space is a nice place to be able to relax and enjoy some drinks with friends."* *These orders always unfairly and disproportionately affect homeless, mentally ill and poor people. They are the ones who are targeted by police with fines when others who also drink in the streets are not. You simply cannot resolve this issue with police* *Why no PSPO to stop people smoking crack and taking drugs far more problematic than alcohol related issues* *But it is not enforced! We have people drinking daily on the street urinating, doing drugs*
The COVID-19 Pandemic is a new factor amongst concerns for and against an Alcohol Control PSPO	
"Most drinkers aren't abusive but they do leave bottle tops, cans and broken glass. They also spit, which is very unhygienic in the age of Covid-19. If common sense is applied the drinking isn't the problem, it's litter and spitting."	*"I think in current circumstances it is better if people are congregating in public to drink rather than in people's homes, even if the drinking is public and the behaviour is anti-social. Covid transmission is more of a public risk than the nuisance."*

TABLE 3: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES	
Q5. Do you agree that the boundary of the PSPO in the Woodside Ward should be extended down Lordship Lane, to include Chapmans Green Park and the roads around the periphery of the park as shown on the map?	
In the 'other' box beneath this question 75 respondents gave a comment.	
49 comments supporting the extension of the Woodside Ward PSPO boundary and/or requesting a PSPO in other areas also.	**The remaining 26 comments were varied:** unable to comment as do not live in the area; insufficient information; same additional as those made to Q4, regarding a PSPO being unnecessary and an infringement on people's rights.
Totally 100%!!! Chapmans Green has suffered terribly from groups of men drinking at all times of day and night (as well as other anti-social behaviour). These PSPO measures are long overdue and very welcome. *Lordship park entrance is now a no-go area due to the alcoholic* *There are frequently gangs of men, smoking and drinking. They are aggressive and abusive, and even as a man, I feel threatened by their stares and comments. Must be tough for women* *Parks are no longer safe because you have gang members present an alcoholic in park due to being drunk we can't take our grandkids there no more* *Chapmans Green has a huge anti-social behaviour due to people drinking, abusing passers-by and littering. A drinking ban is essential so that families and children can start using the little green* *All areas should be covered by this order* *I would prefer that any PSPO is borough wide otherwise it risks appearing discriminatory*	*I don't go there so don't know the extent of the problem* *Cannot find map showing wards and PSPOs* *I have lived here for 20 years and do not think that drinking a beer in the park should be prohibited. This is an attempt at micro control of behaviour* *It is an attack on social class. Drinking is only an excuse to give the police the right to punish homeless people and disadvantage social classes* *You cannot resolve this issue with police* *Parks and outdoor spaces are crucial to locals at this time. We don't need further restrictions on our activities in these spaces* *Punitive action against homeless people is ineffective.*

TABLE 3: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES

Q6. Do you agree that the PSPOs in the other wards should be extended for a further 3 years?

In the 'other' box beneath this question 69 respondents gave a comment.

52 comments made reference to alcohol related problems and the need for a PSPO to be present in other areas and/or for the whole borough to be covered.	**11 comments opposing the extensions repeated the same comments as before relating to this being an infringement of rights and/or unnecessary, drugs are more of a problem, 3 relayed lack of information and 3 cited COVID-19 issues**
People should be comfortable in their borough, gangs of drinkers intimidate myself my wife and especially our daughter who has witnessed drunks urinating at the beginning of Seymour road. *Social drinking in streets needs to be acted on. There are problem areas that never get addressed and it leads to communities of drunks and drug users congregating in the same place. We have people drinking on our street corner EVERY DAY and urinating.*	*"Street drinking" should not be a crime. Drinking alcohol responsibly is not a crime. Being publicly intoxicated is ALREADY a crime and there is no need to extend this.* *I am more disturbed by having to breathe air full of cannabis smoke in the street than by people drinking outside.* *Get rid of PSPOs they just give police powers to harass the homeless*

In relation to the whole Survey Questionnaire: There were 97 additional comments requesting Alcohol Control PSPO provisions to be applied to other parts of the borough; these other areas are listed below. There were 12 other comments expressing the need for a Borough-wide alcohol control PSPO

Road and Streets			**Open Green Spaces**	***Wards***
Acacia Road N22	Grove Park Road N15	Stroud Green Road	Alexandra Park	Alexandra
Albans Crescent N22	Hermitage Road N4	Terront Road N15	Alexandra Palace Park	Bounds Green
Alexandra Park Road	Lordship Lane - Both	The Drive N11	Chapmans Green	Bruce Grove
Bounds Green Road	Sides	The Ladder N4	Chestnuts Park	Harringay
Chapmans Green N22	Lyndhurst Road N22	Tollington Park N4	Downshill Park	Muswell Hill
Dongola Road N17	Muswell Hill N10	Turnpike Lane Tube area	Finsbury Park	Stroud Green
Dunbar Road N17	Palace Road N11	Waltheof Avenue	Paignton Park	White Hart Lane
Eastbourne Road N15	Perth Road N22	White Hart Lane N17	Passmore Gardens	St Ann's
Eldon Street N22	Ranelagh Road N22	Winkfield Road N22	Stanley Culross Open	
Glenwood Road N15	Seymour Road N4	Wood Green Crown	Space	
Green Lanes N4	Stanhope Gardens N4	Court area N22	Stanley Road Park	

TABLE 3: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES	
Dog Control PSPO Survey	
Q3. It is a condition that dog owners or the person in charge of a dog are required to remove faeces (dog mess) from any land which is open to the air and to which the public have access	
In the 'other' box beneath this question 53 respondents gave a comment.	
51 comments, adding support to maintaining this provision or strengthening it e.g. increasing fines, increasing enforcement	**Only two comments were critical of this condition**
"I cannot imagine why this condition would even be considered to be removed. If a dog owner is to lazy to pick up their animal's faeces then they probably aren't ready to have a dog" *"The requirement of the safe and responsible disposal of dog faeces should be added to this control. Bags of dog faeces are frequently disposed of on residents' waste bins, thrown into gardens, left by trees or just dropped in the street or by flood water drains"* *Far tougher fines needed, my street is a dog's toilet* *More enforcement and harsher penalties required. Repeat offenders should lose the right to keep dogs* *There is absolutely no excuse for anyone not to clean up their dog mess*	It is against the law to not clean up after your dog generally, so I don't think it is necessary to have a specific rule for Haringey Not being enforced. Worst locations well known and obvious e.g. close to Parkland Walk

TABLE 3: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES	
Q4. Relating to the condition that dogs are excluded from fenced play areas set aside for children and marked sports pitches when in use	
In the 'other' box beneath this question 60 respondents gave a comment.	
60 Additional comments, the vast majority supporting this condition,	
44 comments supporting this condition and seeking tougher measures	16 other comments of varied theme: 6 referred to dogs should not be excluded when on leads; 3 raised difficulties for parents; 2 disagreed and 2 requested more designated dog areas.
"More enforcement needed. Dog Owners routinely ignore this prohibition in out local parks and become obstreperous when challenged" *The fenced areas should always be dog free. Although I'm a dog lover I recognise that some people are nervous and would be apprehensive about entering. It could also cause a confrontation it's already being used by a dog walker It is also confusing. Also, we need to know that there is no dog mess.* *Areas where dogs are sometimes allowed should be excluded completely to avoid confusion e.g. Philosophers Garden in Priory Park* *But needs enforcement. Good owners comply, some do not. Make them!* *Parks should also have at least some dog free areas* *Should be amended to anytime, whether in use or not*	Dogs should be on leads in such areas, but not excluded entirely *"If the dog is well behaved and under control – e.g. Made to sit with the owner while the children play then it shouldn't be an issue – It is difficult walking the dog and having children that want to play and not being able to do both. As a single parent it's difficult to do both"* *Clarify "when in use" too vague* *Could an area be made available close to the gate of fenced play areas for children, where dogs could be left safely, so they are inside rather than tied up outside.* *When dogs are well trained and behaved they can enjoy such areas safely with adults and kids, some kids may want to play with their dogs instead of other children*

TABLE 3: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES	
Q5. Relating to the condition that dogs must be on a lead in churchyards, graveyards, highways, grass verges, green space less than half a hectare	
In the 'other' box beneath this question 84 respondents gave a comment.	
45 comments supporting this condition and/or supporting tougher measures	39 other comment opposing this condition for varied reasons: 17 disagreed felt it was not necessary dogs should be allowed off lead if under control; 16 agreed to churchyard, graveyard & highways, but not other open/green spaces; 6 felt 'half a hectare' restriction was unhelpful/unclear
"Dogs must be on lead on pathways and pavement in green spaces in addition to current requirements. Too many dogs run at children or other vulnerable people who may not want the contact, especially in current context." *"It is absolutely essential that this should include ALL pavement areas, even on side roads - the number of dogs off their leads is frequent. Even if appearing obedient, it takes one distraction (e.g. cat) across on the other side for a dog to rush out into the road and cause an accident/end life"* *"Dogs should be on a lead in ALL green areas within urban areas, i.e. incl. ALL parks. We and our child have numerous times been attacked or jumped at by dogs in parks causing us distress and injuries and damages to clothes and in a pandemic when dogs also can carry Covid19 dogs shall ALWAYS be on lead"* *Extend to all places of worship of all faiths* *Dogs should be on a lead at all times on Homes for Haringey Estates. Children and cats have been attacked by out of control dogs.*	*I don't see why dogs should be on leads in these situations as long as they are well behaved and controlled by the owner* *If a dog is under control it shouldn't need a lead.* *Depends on the behaviour of the dog. Council should have power if dog is misbehaving* *Owners know their dog's recall/temperance and should be allowed to decide this for themselves* *Agree with exception of green spaces less than half a hectare* *Do not think dogs should be kept on lead in green spaces* *Green space less than half a hectare is an unhelpful restriction. Many such sized areas are ideal for dogs and used by them already* *No one knows the size of their green space, anyway, so how can you expect compliance*

TABLE 3: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES	
Q6. Relating to the condition that dog owners put their dog on a lead when directed to do so by an authorised officer. This applies to any land to which the public have access and where a dog is considered to be out of control.	
In the 'other' box beneath this question 45 respondents gave a comment.	
25 comments supporting this condition, some asking for extended enforcement	**21 other comments of varied theme: 3 disagreed with this condition; 7 commented there had been a lack of enforcement; 6 felt 'out of control' needed clarifying; 4 felt it was uncleared who was an 'authorised officer'.**
"A dog without a lead is by definition out of the owner's control. Any person should be able to direct an owner to leash their dog when the dog is on designated leash-free areas. In public spaces, all dogs should be leashed - many people feel unsafe when dogs are not under direct leash control." *I believe dogs should always be on leads in public areas like parks. Dogs are a threat to children and a nuisance to people who like to have a quiet walk.* *This should be law. No choice in it.* *Dogs should be on leads in smaller parks so that children and elderly don't have dogs running up to them jumping on them* *It should be varied to allow any member of the public to request this and dog owners who are non-compliant should face a fine.* *There should be a designated fenced "dogs' free run park". In all other parks, dogs should be on leads at all times, and there should be signs in these parks directing owners to the "dogs' free run park".*	*Some officers don't like dogs and would insist on dogs on leads even if they were in control.* *"considered out of control" is underspecified and therefore can lead to arbitrary enforcement. Out of control should be articulated as a set of explicit harmful behaviours (example: attacking other dogs, attacking or aggression towards people, damaging park furniture, etc)."* *The dog needs to demonstrably out of control and not merely running around in play.* *I have never seen any 'authorized officers' telling people to keep their dogs under control.* *Without enforcement this sort of rule undermines good behaviour.* *Define authorised officer. If it's a parking warden the answer is no, a police officer - sure.* *There are rarely any officers enforcing this order but members of the general public are abusing it by asking other dog owners to put their dogs on lead when they have no authority or poor justification (such as being afraid of dogs) to give an order on someone to place their dog on lead.*
New Requirement	

TABLE 3: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES	
Q3. Person in charge of a dog can pick up dog faeces (poo) using dog poop bags, other bags, pooper scooper or other means. Should a person in charge of a dog carry a means or device to pick up dog faeces?	
In the 'other' box beneath this question 160 respondents gave a comment.	
128 comments supporting the requirement and emphasising the impact of dog owners not picking up their dog faeces.	**32 comments of varied theme: 7 raised concerns this could be used as a stop & search tactic and be discriminatory; 13 felt that responsible dog owners may be unfairly penalised; 4 raised concerns about authorisation – who and how condition would be enforced; 3 felt this was unnecessary; 4 disagreed or stipulated exceptions. 1 cited alternative environmentally ways to deal with dog faeces.**
Frankly, it's pretty disgusting if dog owners don't do this. Lots of little children around esp. In parks but elsewhere also. If someone is unable or unwilling to clean up after their dog, they shouldn't have one. Dog fouling is anti-social. *Without the means or device to pick up dog faeces deposited by that dog, the person in charge of a dog would otherwise not be able to comply with the existing order to remove dog faeces.* *In addition to picking up their dog's faeces dog owners should dispose of it responsibly i.e. in the bins provided or take it with them to dispose of at home - dog owners/walkers shouldn't hang the bags on shrubs/trees/signs, or leave lying around* *The level of dog fouling in the streets is a persistent and unacceptable health hazard*	*While they should carry them, I do not believe this is enforceable without stop and search which is not acceptable.* *If faeces is such a concern, the Council should provide bag dispensers in key locations, near bins, etc* *I agree a dog owner should carry bags or other means to pick up faeces, but there are occasionally times when even responsible dog owners run out of bags or forget so these people should not be unfairly penalised by such an order.* *If you are going to enforce this please ensure Officers can offer a bag* *Leaves and sticks are much more environmentally friendly and should be used if appropriate. There is too much plastic waste out there already.* *In the new requirement, it needs to be clear who can make such requests to dog owners. Member of public or authorised official? To prevent potential conflicts, it should be the latter.*

TABLE 3: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES	
If you own a dog, take responsibility for it. Dog faeces not picked up and disposed of properly pose health risks and is unsightly. *Some carry bags but still do not pick up!* *All responsible dog owners carry at least a plastic bag to dispose of any dog faeces. No responsible owner would leave the house without one and claiming they don't have one available is just premeditated obstinance.* *It's been mandatory for years in other Countries, UK should comply as well.* *Just like with any other pets, it is the owner's duty to care for them and this includes waste removal. You clean a hamster cage to remove the droppings. A dog is no different.* *It stands to reason that if people realise that they must carry such means whenever in charge of a dog/dogs, and could be challenged to produce it, the overall incidence of compliance with the requirement to clean up will be increased from its current, far from perfect level.* *Spent 30 minutes cleaning the footpath outside our house on Saturday 10 July - large pile of dog mess had spread for 2 metres. Disgusting.* *Being the owner of a dog is a responsibility. Cleaning up after it is part of that responsibility. London is ever increasing in human and dog population, therefore cleaning up after your dog is increasingly important.* *If you want to own a dog you owe it to the rest of us not to make us step in your dog's shit*	*Yes, but I worry about how this would be enforced for people without means to get the dog poo bags e.g. homeless people? Would there be some leniency on that?* *This is wildly intrusive and goes too far. We do not ask parents to produce evidence that they carry something to pick after their children. This victimises dog owners as though they are guilty until they can prove otherwise. Disgraceful measure.* *This would serve no useful purpose. A dog owner failing to clean up after the dog is already covered by the existing PSPO. Punishing selected dog owners for a hypothetical offence would be both unnecessary and unfair.* *I am extremely concerned about how this new requirement will be enforced with over-zealous enforcement contractors more interested in performing relentless 'stop-and-search' to enrich their companies* *You can always improvise a way to dispose the dog poo and this new protection order could be abused by enforcers* *If an owner has a dog and doesn't pick up its mess they are already able to be fined. I am concerned this will allow targeting of individuals considered to be anti-social and not those who go unnoticed by community officers etc. This leads to more discrimination.* *Obviously, the logical answer to this question is yes, but my concern is about what happens if a person is found not to have such an item. Are they fined or just warned? I am a responsible dog owner who almost always carries poop bags, but very occasionally I forget. That should not be a crime!*

TABLE 3: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES

People who don't pick up dog mess give responsible dog owners a bad name *It would help if there were adequate Collection Bins in the parks. One at each exit would obviously be best.* *It's essential and common sense* *If they don't have something, they won't be able to pick it up. So, now people will not have an excuse.* *No brainer, surely. Unless they are planning to put it in their pocket.* *There should also be free dog litter bags available at entrances to parks and other green spaces used by dogs* *Dog faeces can cause blindness it is unhygienic, it causes environmental problems. Please make dog owners responsible*	*It would also be a great idea to have rolls of bags at the entrance to parks for dog owners to use if they forget their own means on a particular day, as in Aus.* *I fear that minority ethnic, working class and young people will be disproportionally targeted by the authorities, the same as they are by the police. Their lives are already excessively scrutinised and intruded on.* *Who is going to enforce this?* *How do you plan to enforce this?* *How will owners be challenged about this? What will the penalty be for a dog owner not having poo bags? An instant fine? Also, owners who bring poo bags still often "ignore" their dog's fouling. A way to trace and fine owners from abandoned poo would be more effective.* *Yes, however what if the person has used their bag and disposed of it in a bin how do you enforce that. Do you expect them to carry spares so they can prove it?* *This is an over-reach of police powers. They should not have the ability to detain and fine someone for this. This could be too easily abused, and proper oversight would cost even more. None of this is necessary. Spend the money on men's issues: suicide, male domestic abuse victims, etc* *It's amazingly nanny state, laws are not the answer for everything. Promote behavioural change, not petty legislation.*

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
1	Email – 5 July **Dorset Road N15**	No clear response to consultation questions, but clearly feels dog control and alcohol related ASB are an issue Supports extending duration of PSPOS "*so as far as this order is concerned you wish to bring it in for 3 years you should bring it in for 5years most of it won't work but at least you got something to build on"*	**Borough Resident**
2	2 Emails 6 July	*"The fact is the people who pick up their dog poo and don't get drunk in parks have always behaved this way and will continue to do so, order or no, and the people who never pick up their dog poo and get drunk in parks will continue to do so, order or no. I'd love to be proved wrong about this"* *"Yes I did support the requirement to carry bags"*	**Borough Resident**
3	Email 6 July **Devonshire Road N17**	*Please include Tottenhall Rec .* *There have been many unruly parties resulting in broken bottles, dumped chairs, cans, etc there during lockdown with underage drinking and threatening behaviour.* *Please include the use of Nitrous Oxide and add Andy Ludlow House, and other gathering places to the protection orders.* *Dog walkers. Maximum dogs per person can control 4 if small 2 if large.* *Yes all dog walkers should be required to carry bags for dog faeces. And to pick it up and dispose of it safely! I feel for public protection all dog owners should be required to do a dog training course and pass a test showing that they can control their dog.*	**Borough Resident**
4	Email 6 July **Stellar House & Altair Close N17**	*Different groups of people tend to hang around there drinking taking drugs, they also observe people coming in and out of stellar house.* *I realise there are no easy answers solutions to the above issues.*	**Borough Resident**
5	Email 6 July	*I found two copies of the above leaflet on the stairs to the lst floor of my block of 5 flats. I live on the ground floor and did not have one delivered. If this is the means of communication then sadly residents views will not be taken into account if they do not receive notifications.*	**Borough Resident**

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
6	Email 6 July	*I am writing to inform you of my heightened apprehension towards the proposal to extend the Public Space Protection Orders for a supplementary 36 months. I am hoping, by the end of this short email, you will join me in the mutual disgust I know all creatures of empathy will feel upon reading the letter that's been shoved through our many post holes.* *The Covid-19 pandemic has set in motion many new trends; some will likely yield virtuous ends while others threaten the very fabric of our rich (metaphorically-speaking) and diverse community.* *Why penalise the dog when the human doth discharge with greater malice? Members of our own community are using our public spaces to ablut themselves; meanwhile the council blames the innocuous dog...? And now you propose to enforce a limit on the number of dogs that can be walked by a single owner as if that will somehow stop your human constituents dumping their manure across the borough!*	**Borough Resident**
7	Email 7 July **Fortis Green Ward**	*We support your proposed new requirement which would require a person in charge of a dog to carry bags or a means to pick up dog faeces, and the separate proposal that will require a person in charge of a dog to clean up after their dog. We also support your proposed requirement to require dogs to be on leads in sensitive areas and to exclude dogs from fenced children's play areas. We would support restricting the number of dogs that one person can walk --- ideally no more than two, but we would accept three.* *On alcohol, there does not seem to be a problem in our ward, but in principle we support the proposal to prohibit drinking in a public place, or carrying alcohol in open cans in restricted areas.*	**Borough resident**
8	Email 7 July	My view in regards to the control of alcohol I agree. Plus the use of drugs in public places and residential property It is a widespread than the use of alcohol and both leads to Anti-Social behaviour.	**Residency not specified**
9	Email – 7 July	*Responsible dog ownership is very important. Of course every dog owner should carry with them bags at all times to collect their dog's faeces. Not collecting these causes nuisance for every one, including other dog owners. A dog which is out of control should not be off the lead!*	**Borough resident**

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
		Generally speaking, though, please keep in mind that dog owners do not leave dogs that are out of control, off the lead! *Frankly, I am very upset to read that you now try to prohibit a little freedom in a cemetery, or a peace garden, for a well behaved doggy! And if a fenced children area is entirely empty, where is the harm in letting a dog have some fun or freedom there? London is not very dog friendly as it is.* *I urge you to rethink your proposals! As for the rest of the points raised in your consultation, I think it is a good idea, I would really like if you could ban alcohol in glass bottles outdoors, as they get smashed and hurt the paws of our pets outside. Lately there is broken glass everywhere in my area.*	
10	Email – 7 July **Avenue Road N15**	*You need to think very carefully before you renew police powers in regard to this Act* *Overall, we never see a police officer in the area of South Grove or Avenue Road and only occasionally a PCSO. This is especially true this time of year when so many are on annual leave that one PCSO is left in the office on their own to answer the phone etc. In view of this do you....Believe that the police need this extension and if so, are capable of carrying out the required procedures to enforce the Act?* *Renewing their powers and giving them more responsibility will not work. They have failed since 2017 and another three years would be a waste of public time and money unless the police become more productive in the curtailing of the problems they have failed to control in the first place. On their own admission, the police cannot cope now as they don't have enough officers, another three-year extension will be just as counterproductive and of no benefit to the community.* *I cannot support the renewal under the circumstances that presently exist.*	**Borough Resident**
11	Email 8 July **N6 area**	*All residents in Haringey agree that dogs should not foul public areas, pavements and parks. How will Haringey police and enforce this? This is a significant problem in N6 particularly, Denewood Road, Sheldon Avenue, Stormont Road. Broadlands and Bishopswood.There are as you know, significant public health consequences and environmental problems with dog faeces, and this may include bacteria and viruses harmful to humans.*	**Borough resident**

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
12	Email 8 July **Turnpike Lane**	*In my area, there are some weird people who spend their time into hustling , living in the street, using drugs, drinking alcohol. These people often have dogs. Of course, these dogs do not take any kind of vaccination. And they are not kept on a lead. So, in case they bite someone, they can carry rabies. Two dogs of this kind of weird man, attacked another dog and the lady who the dog belonged to two days ago. It was terrible.* *I really appreciate, what are you doing and, as a person who lives in this area, I really hope you could improve your service, with all sort of administrative sanction, to protect those who respect the rules and look after their neighbours.*	**Borough Resident**
13	Email 8 July	*I would like to voice my opinion on the proposed changes as I believe they would be very unfair on all the homeless lives.*	**Residency no specified**
14	Email 8 July **Ducketts common N8**	*In general terms I agree wholeheartedly with your two proposals, especially with regard to the behaviour of dog owners; on any sunny day Ducketts Common attracts lots of families with young children and I have witnessed many instances where dog owners (I have to say mainly older and male) allowing their dogs to defecate on the grass and simply walking away leaving the mess.*	**Borough Resident**
15	Letter 8 July **Passmore gardens N11**	*I agree whole heartedly with the proposal, but do not wish to do a form on line.*	**Borough Resident**
16	Email 8 July **Perth Road N22**	***Dog Control Public Space Protection Order*** *Except for a very brief period of time, I have been a dog owner all of my life and at present have two. I thoroughly support responsible dog ownership and am intrigued how you intend to "police" any of your proposals.* *I have on numerous occasions contacted the council about dog fouling not only down my road but also in my front garden, I would NEVER allow my dogs to be unleaded or uncollared in a public street. I also find it offensive that when I walk my dogs in the Garden of Peace in Tottenham to visit graves of my parents and grandparents dogs are allowed to roam around unchecked, chasing the wildlife and fouling on graves. I have confronted owners only as expected to be abused. Not since I was a child have I seen any dog wardens or patrols in*	**Borough Resident**

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
		these places. I and my responsible dog walking friends who clear up after others would welcome fines for those not clearing up after their dogs and even not carrying poo bags, but I cannot see this happening. ***Alcohol Public Space Protection Orders*** *In December of 2019 a friend and I started cleaning up our park, it took us until the end of February, I cannot tell you the disgusting rubbish that we cleared from our park but a good proportion of it was bottles and cans of empty alcohol. The residual rubbish was a product of this including human waste, condoms etc. I go to the park around 6am every day and usually end up with at least one sack of rubbish from the park.* *Whilst I appreciate all of your endeavours and your proposals in the letter of 1st July, you will excuse me cynicism that anything will change, that anything will be enforced and that this time next year I will still be clearing up other peoples dog mess from my front garden and from my park and that I will not still be knee deep in empty beer cans, discarded vodka bottles and a river of glistening silver canisters every single morning of the week.*	
17	Email – 9 July **Northumberland park Ward**	*We already have restrictions on alcohol in public areas and dogs on leads and dog fouling as outlined in your letter - unfortunately they are not adhered to and we have a very serious issue with drinking in public and drug use in public e.g. Bruce Castle Park, Tottenham Graveyard, benches on road by White Hart Lane station, outside Coombs Croft Library, Road Barrier on Beaufoy Road N17 - parties in graveyard and park!* *People have dogs off lead in graveyard despite signs and staff working in graveyard and people allow dogs foul pavements, park and graveyard and don't always pick it up.* *I would like to know what is being done to police and enforce the PSPO's already in place and why breaches appear to go unchallenged or addressed?* *Why are Haringey extending PSPO's while apparently simultaneously failing to enforce existing ones? Putting up signs is all good and well but doesn't deter anything, there are no police resources to patrol the areas regularly!*	**Borough Resident**
18	Email 9 July **Tower Gardens area**	*I hope Haringey Council introduces a Dog Control Public Space Protection Order because some of the dog owners do not clear the faeces made by their dogs from the pavements of the Tower Gardens Conservation area.* *I have often reported to the council faeces on the pavement where Risley Avenue meets Teynton Terrace and The Roundway.*	**Borough Resident**

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
19	Email 9 July **Tottenham**	*I have to say I was very disappointed in the consultation form, as it did not cover so many areas and would not allow you to fill very much in the boxes. In my opinion there should have been a questions on eg. Have you or your family been effected by people drinking near to where you live? What is the location? What time does this take place? Have you ever notified the Council of your concerns? Have you ever had to ring the Police? Are there enough notices informing the public that they are breaking the law if they are caught drinking etc? I could have answered all these questions as where I live it is a real problem as I know it is for alot of residents living in Tottenham. In my opinion and that of my neighbours this matter is not taken seriously enough by the Police or the Council as I do not think you are really aware of the effect it has on residents and where the hot spots are.Thanks for taking the time to read this.*	**Borough Resident**
20	Email – 10 July **Quernmore Road**	*I am wholly supportive of these proposals .* *My biggest concern relates to enforcement. Obviously, there is little point in making or extending any kind of regulation unless this is monitored and enforced. B* *I am of the very firm opinion that all dog owners should be obliged to carry bags to pick up dog faeces which, as I'm sure you are aware, is not only very unpleasant but also a significant health hazard. They should also be obliged to dispose of the bags properly. There is a significant problem at the moment with dumping of dog faeces bags on the street or (even worse) hanging them on railings, trees or bushes.*	**Borough Resident**
21	Email 10 July **Stapleton Hall**	*I'd like to say that we have too many dogs allowed to foul the peace garden on the corner of Stapleton hall road and granville road. It's mostly children playing there after school ask yet people treat it as a dogs toilet.* *Equally at night during the summer there's too much drinking and noise in a residential area on those benches.*	**Borough Resident**
22	Email 12 July **Dukes Avenue N10**	*Wondering why there is no APSPO for Alexandra Ward??* *I'm sure you are aware of the police involvement in and around the Avenue, N10 and installation of CCTV on account alcohol use and disorder*	**Borough Resident**
23	Email 14 July **Linden Road N15**	*Dog Control Public Space Protection Order:-I do support the whole proposal.* *Alcohol Public Space Protection Orders:-I do support the whole proposal.*	**Borough Resident**

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
24	Email 14 July **Allington Avenue N17**	*The green area in the middle is basically the local dog toilet, disgustingly residents on our avenue and residents from the Somerset gardens estate bring their dogs to pooh and many many don't pick it up. It Attracts flies and it's hideous.*	**Borough Resident**
25	Letter 14 July **Woollaston Road N4**	*We wish to register our support to extend Dog & Alcohol control in public spaces. Likewise we are in agreement for the requirement of dog owners to carry bags and remove their faeces. There appears to be a proliferation of dog ownership – some of whom are not cleaning up after them.*	**Borough Resident**
26	14 July **Woodside Ward**	*Chapman's Green Park is the kind of place you wouldn't cross, if not strictly necessary. It is often populated with groups of adults consuming alcohol at any time of the day with consequent littering of bottles and cans.* *Dogs control is another issue in the area. In three years that I've lived here I've never seen anybody picking up after their dogs; hence why I reckon it should be mandatory for dog owners to carry bags or other means to pick up. This policy has been introduced in other countries since several years now.* *Also I would like to say that even though I do not own a dog I love them and I have nothing against dogs but owners need to be educated.*	**Borough Resident**
27	Email 15 July **Summerhill Road N15**	*As residents we have experienced major problems with street drinking and anti social behaviour in Elizabeth Place, outside St Phillips Church in Phillip Lane and various street corners in West Green Road. The major problems are in Elizabeth Place and outside the Church. Notices were put up stating that this was part of PSPO area. It should be noted that many of the notices were torn down by the drinkers! We have had large groups of all ages and ethnicities drinking late into the night on one occasion last year they started drinking late afternoon and the "party" went on until 11.00pm, they even bought plastic glasses to drink out of and a barbecue. Residents have spent hours clearing up their rubbish, the amount of cans bottles food that is thrown in bushes is enormous.* *It is essential that the PSPO remains in these areas, it is not only the amount of alcohol that is consumed but all the behaviour that is associated with it eg reving up car/motor bikes; music being played loudly, the amount of rubbish they leave.*	**Borough Resident Neighbourhood Watch Rep**

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
28	Email 15 July **Cranbrook Park**	*I am in favour of the extension of the public space protection orders dog control & alcohol control orders for a further three years. I live in Cranbrook Park & i have seen a great improvement in the area since the orders have been in place and I don't want to back to the days before the order.*	**Borough Resident**
29	Letter – 17 July **Elizabeth Close N15**	*We do not have a computer so we cannot fill your survey out. We live in Elizabeth Close which backs onto Elizabeth Place near to Summerhill Road N15/Bedford Road. For many years residents have experienced very high levels of men of all ages and backgrounds who come here – Elizabeth Place sit around on the cars /bikes/scooters shouting, drinking, music, smoking (legal/illegal), doing deals, selling cigarettes/cars etc.* *notices went up telling then they could not behave in this was – PSPO – however most of notices got torn down, one in fact got burnt.* *Even when things were at their worst with drinkers/ anti-social behaviour etc, there were never 20/30 people drinking. It is not on ! There are also problems with drinkers around West Green Road going down towards the Seven Sisters and outside the church in Philip Lane near Cromwell Road*	**Borough Resident**
30	Email 20 July	*In response to your dog patrol space protection order...* *Go for it...everyone should clear up after their dog....!!* *I would suggest that cat owners should be added to this space protection order..*	**Residency not specified**
31	Email 22 July **Elizabeth Place**	*I just wanted you to know residents experiences over this week end in the area of Elizabeth Place N15.numerous youths congregating near to the corner of Bedford Road at various times during the weekend, riding bikes and scooters; older men seen drinking in groups also at one stage in Elizabeth Place. In the early hours of the morning around 2.30am by this time it was Monday morning 3 cars arrived talking loudly laughing and generally having a good time, bottles/cans of alcohol found next day where they had been.*	**Borough Resident**
32	Letter 22 July **Bedford Road N15**	*A short line to say that I'm in favour of the dog control Public Space Protection Order as it is a safeguard to public health. Its also good if dogs are on leads in the sensitive areas that you mention in your letter to residents. A black bag would certainly help to comply with this order. It appears humans also need a P.S.P.O as they also, at times foul the environment*	**Borough Resident**

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
33	Letter – August **Elizabeth Place N15**	*We wanted to let you know that we think it is vital that you continue with the PSPO especially where we live. We get trouble all the time especially in Elizabeth Place/ Lawrence Road/West Green Road?philip Lane. There are always men of all ages drinking, music "smoking" causing a nuisance with their bikes, mopeds, motorbikes, cars, sometimes it goes on all night until the early hours. You hardly every see a police van/car patrolling the area even though they know where these people hang out. We don't think the Council take it serious enough . we often wonder why they do not have the same problems in Muswell Hill or Crouch End.*	**Borough Resident**
34	Email 1 August **Warner Estate Alexandra Palace Park**	*Why is the PSOP or similar measures not being extended to Alexandra Ward to help address the situation? Why is the council not doing more to address this nuisance?*	**Borough Resident**
35	Email 10 August 2020 **Bounds Green Ward**	*I'm writing about the current website consultation regarding the renewal and extension of PSPOs relating to dog control and alcohol to 8 of the 9 Tottenham wards and 3 Hornsey and Wood Green wards including Bounds Green. Could you please let me know the rationale behind the choice of these wards and the source of the data informing it?* *A number of Bounds Green residents have raised issues, being particularly concerned about the race and class assumptions that appear to underlie the proposals. Why are the more working class and BAME areas in Haringey being included as proposed areas for PSPOs and not the more middle class and white areas? There is concern that this will not help with sensitivities about policing.* *What are the reasons for not adopting, like other boroughs such as Islington, a boroughwide approach to dealing with both dog control and alcohol?*	**Local Cllr**
36	Email 12 August 2020 **Local Cllr Stroud Green Ward**	*No proposal to include any part of Stroud Green ward for alcohol control areas* *there are areas/pockets of alcohol abuse in Stroud Green.*	**Local Cllr**

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
37	Email 12 August **Stroud Green Road**	*As a Stroud Green resident I am upset that there is no proposal to include any part of Stroud Green ward for alcohol control areas. As a Stroud Green resident I am therefore unable to complete the consultation questionnaire to express my views as the questionnaire requires me to state which of the current wards covered by PSPOs I live or work in. I live in Stroud Green so am not being consulted.* *This should be a borough-wide consultation, and I and others who live in the ward (including yourselves) are effectively prevented from expressing a view.* *For information if I was able to participate I would say that I think that that Stationers Park and Finsbury Park (which isn't in Stroud Green but which is used by a lot of Stroud Green residents) should both be covered by alcohol control zones. Neither is covered at present and neither will be if the proposals are rubber-stamped. As you are no doubt aware there is alcohol-related anti-social behaviour associated with both parks.* *The PSPO is not a ban on drinking in parks - which I wouldn't support - but a power for uniformed officers to prevent alcohol-related ASB and nuisance more easily (by confiscating alcohol or issuing FPNs etc).*	**Borough resident**
38	**Email** 13 August **The Paddock Down Lane Park**	*I am concerned that neither the Paddock or Down Lane Park will have an alcohol PSPO in place and that the current situation is that the status will remain as is. I would like this to be reconsidered:* *Both spaces have a history of Anti-social behaviour, that is still occurring.* *The Paddock while currently a nature reserve will be developed so it achieves a higher status of protection, this could be significantly affected by ASB issues. Additionally, there is clear evidence that considerable alcohol consumption happens this is clearly evidenced by the alcohol related litter that is regularly found on site.*	**Works in Borough**
39	Email 14 August	I completely agree with the requirement: "A person in charge of a dog on land to which this order applies to produce on request a means or device to pick up dog faeces deposited by that dog." I also believe the Dog Control Public Space Protection Order (PSPO) should be extended for a	**Borough Resident**

TABLE 4: Additional emails and letters received			
	DATE & SOURCE	**SUMMARY/KEY COMMENTS**	**Category of Respondent**
		further 3 years. Further I think that more areas of Haringey's parks should be set aside as dog-free and other areas where dogs are allowed but only on a lead.	
40	Email 14 August **Hornsey Lane Gardens Area**	*It does not seem apt or kind to keep dogs in cities; even if you only consider, for a start, a dog's acute sense of and reaction to odours and fumes: If we humans complain and suffer, how much more do poor creatures in a city?* *Then the poor dogs suffer indignity having publicly to deposit their excrement on hard pavements, demeaning to any creature (animals also have dignity) and surely unhygienic (+possible traces of toxocara, tapeworms etc) even though the owner does pick it up in a bag – a necessary but disgusting and degrading act in itself – bearing it until a bin is found.* *Can civilisation not do better?* *It is a dog's instinct to find a secluded leafy or long-grassed patch to deposit its faeces and then with its hind legs cleanly and decently kick over covering leaves and grass. To what humiliation does humanity in urban areas subject dogs?* *Dogs easily and frequently get out of control on public paths and rush upon strangers, yelping wildly and leaping up for no plausible reason. Supposing any one of us should rush up and jump upon a stranger, pawing, scratching and slobbering spittle? Would this not be assault? With dogs this happens and the dumb owners beam stupidly "He's just pleased to see you – He's only being friendly* *Civic powers-that-be, please :* • *Have all dogs on pedestrianised areas secure on a firm restricted-length leash.* • *Have walkers handling groups of dogs be limited as to number and size of dogs per walker, avoid crowded public, conservation and residential areas; and especially be vetted and officially registered.*	**Borough resident**

Table 5: Demographic Profile Showing the composition of the Alcohol Control PSPO Consultation sample			
Total Survey Respondents:854		**Proportion %**	
		Base Respondents	Survey Respondents
BASE: 471 Respondents			
At birth, were you described as			
Male	196	41.6%	23.0%
Female	264	56.1%	30.9%
Prefer not to say	11	2.3%	1.3%
No response	383	-	44.8%
BASE: 467 Respondents			
Which of the following describes how you think of yourself?			
Male	200	42.8%	23.4%
Female	264	56.5%	30.9%
Bisexual	1	0.2%	0.1%
Non-Binary	2	0.4%	0.2%
No response	387	-	45.3%
BASE: 773 Respondents			
What is your age?			
16-24	12	1.6%	1.4%
25-44	308	39.8%	36.1%
45-64	292	37.8%	34.2%
65+	133	17.2%	15.6%
Prefer not to say	28	3.6%	3.3%
No Response	81	-	9.5%
BASE: 123 Respondents			
Disability			
No disabilities	626		73.3%
Do you have any of the following conditions which have lasted or expected to last for at least 12 months?			
Blindness or partial loss of sight	5	4.1%	0.6%
Learning disability	3	2.4%	0.4%
Physical disability	21	17.1%	2.5%
Mental ill health	23	18.7%	2.7%
Long term illness or condition	45	36.6%	5.3%
Developmental disorder	3	2.4%	0.4%
Deafness or partial loss of hearing	15	12.2%	1.8%
Any other disabilities	8	6.5%	0.9%
BASE: 302 Respondents			
Ethnicity			
What is your country of birth?			
Australian & New Zealand	7	2.3%	0.8%
Belgium	2	0.7%	0.2%
Canada	2	0.7%	0.2%
China	2	0.7%	0.2%
Cyprus	2	0.7%	0.2%
France	4	1.3%	0.5%
Germany	3	1.0%	0.4%
Ireland	12	4.0%	1.4%

Italy	4	1.3%	0.5%
Nigeria	2	0.7%	0.2%
Other African/Caribbean	6	2.0%	0.7%
Other Arab	2	0.7%	0.2%
Other Asian	6	2.0%	0.7%
Other EU	6	2.0%	0.7%
Other European	2	0.7%	0.2%
Poland	2	0.7%	0.2%
Spain	2	0.7%	0.2%
South American	5	1.7%	0.6%
United Kingdom	224	74.2%	26.2%
United States	5	1.7%	0.6%
Not Specified	2	0.7%	0.2%
Blank	552	-	64.6%
BASE: 854 Respondents			
How would you describe your ethnic group?			
White			
English, Welsh, Scottish, N Irish, British	408	47.8%	47.8%
Irish	26	3.0%	3.0%
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	3	0.4%	0.4%
Any other white background	92	10.8%	10.8%
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British			
African	187	21.9%	21.9%
Caribbean	24	2.8%	2.8%
Any other Black / African / Caribbean / Black British ethnic background	28	3.3%	3.3%
Mixed / multiple ethnic backgrounds			
White and Black Caribbean	9	1.1%	1.1%
White and Black African	5	0.6%	0.6%
White and Asian	12	1.4%	1.4%
Any other mixed/multiple ethnic background	7	0.8%	0.8%
Any other Asian / Asian British ethnic background			
Asian / Asian British	8	0.9%	0.9%
Indian	17	2.0%	2.0%
Pakistani	4	0.5%	0.5%
Bangladeshi	8	0.9%	0.9%
Chinese	8	0.9%	0.9%
Any other ethnic group			
Arab	8	0.9%	0.9%
BASE: 700 Respondents			
What is your religion?			
No religion	418	59.7%	48.9%
Buddhist	132	18.9%	15.5%
Christian (including Church of England, Catholic, Protestant and all other Christian denominations)	118	16.9%	13.8%
Hindu	3	0.4%	0.4%

Jewish	6	0.9%	0.7%
Muslim	11	1.6%	1.3%
Sikh	3	0.4%	0.4%
Any other Religion	9	1.3%	1.1%
No response	154	-	18.0%
BASE: 685 Respondents			
Which of the following options best describes how you think of yourself?			
Heterosexual or straight	498	72.7%	58.3%
Gay or lesbian	37	5.4%	4.3%
Bisexual	74	10.8%	8.7%
Other	13	1.9%	1.5%
Prefer not to say	63	9.2%	7.4%
No Response	169	-	19.8%
BASE: 423 Respondents			
Are you pregnant?			
Yes	5	1.2%	0.6%
No	393	92.9%	46.0%
Prefer not to say	25	5.9%	2.9%
No response	431	-	50.5%
BASE: 390 Respondents			
Have you had a baby in the last 12 months?			
Yes	0	0.0%	0.0%
No	369	94.6%	43.2%
Prefer not to say	21	5.4%	2.5%
No response	464	-	54.3%
BASE: 446 Respondents			
What is your legal marital or civil partnership status?			
Never married and never registered a civil partnership	182	40.8%	21.3%
Married or in a civil partnership	201	45.1%	23.5%
Widowed or surviving partner from a civil partnership	16	3.6%	1.9%
Divorced or legally dissolved from a civil partnership	41	9.2%	4.8%
Separated but still legally married or in a civil partnership	6	1.3%	0.7%
No response	408	-	47.8%
Are you a refugee or an asylum seeker?			
A Refugee	97	-	11.4%
An Asylum Seeker	113	-	13.2%
If so, what country or region are you a refugee/asylum seeker from?			
What is your main language?			
English	415	-	48.6%
Other	28	-	3.3%

Table 6: Demographic Profile
Showing the composition of the Dog Control PSPO Consultation sample

Total Survey Respondents:1142		Proportion %	
		Base Respondents	Survey Respondents
BASE: 1008 Respondents			
At birth, were you described as			
Male	405	40.2%	35.5%
Female	580	57.5%	50.8%
Prefer not to say	23	2.3%	2.0%
No response	134	-	11.7%
BASE: 1001 Respondents			
Which of the following describes how you think of yourself?			
Male	417	42%	51%
Female	584	58%	37%
Non-Binary	0	0%	0%
No response	141	-	12%
BASE: 1087 Respondents			
What is your age?			
16-24	10	0.9%	0.9%
25-44	337	31.0%	29.5%
45-64	440	40.5%	38.5%
65+	250	23.0%	21.9%
Prefer not to say	50	4.6%	4.4%
No Response	55	-	4.8%
BASE: 176 Respondents			
Disability			
No disabilities	892		78.1%
Do you have any of the following conditions which have lasted or expected to last for at least 12 months?			
Blindness or partial loss of sight	9	5.1%	0.8%
Learning disability	4	2.3%	0.4%
Physical disability	37	21.0%	3.2%
Mental ill health	29	16.5%	2.5%
Long term illness or condition	55	31.3%	4.8%
Developmental disorder	3	1.7%	0.3%
Deafness or partial loss of hearing	28	15.9%	2.5%
Any other disabilities	11	6.3%	1.0%
BASE: 302 Respondents			
Ethnicity			
What is your country of birth?			
Australian & New Zealand	7	2.3%	0.6%
Belgium	2	0.7%	0.2%
Canada	2	0.7%	0.2%
China	2	0.7%	0.2%
Cyprus	2	0.7%	0.2%
France	4	1.3%	0.4%
Germany	3	1.0%	0.3%
Ireland	12	4.0%	1.1%

Italy	4	1.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	2	0.7%	0.2%
Other African/Caribbean	6	2.0%	0.5%
Other Arab	2	0.7%	0.2%
Other Asian	6	2.0%	0.5%
Other EU	6	2.0%	0.5%
Other European	2	0.7%	0.2%
Poland	2	0.7%	0.2%
Spain	2	0.7%	0.2%
South American	5	1.7%	0.4%
United Kingdom	224	74.2%	19.6%
United States	5	1.7%	0.4%
Not Specified	2	0.7%	0.2%
Blank	840	-	73.6%
BASE: 1213 Respondents			
How would you describe your ethnic group?			
White			
English, Welsh, Scottish, N Irish, British	704	58.0%	61.6%
Irish	35	2.9%	3.1%
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	2	0.2%	0.2%
Any other white background	110	9.1%	9.6%
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British			
African	187	15.4%	16.4%
Caribbean	25	2.1%	2.2%
Any other Black / African / Caribbean / Black British ethnic background	4	0.3%	0.4%
Mixed / multiple ethnic backgrounds			
White and Black Caribbean	11	0.9%	1.0%
White and Black African	1	0.1%	0.1%
White and Asian	24	2.0%	2.1%
Any other mixed/multiple ethnic background	21	1.7%	1.8%
Any other Asian / Asian British ethnic background			
Asian / Asian British	8	0.7%	0.7%
Indian	25	2.1%	2.2%
Pakistani	6	0.5%	0.5%
Bangladeshi	8	0.7%	0.7%
Chinese	13	1.1%	1.1%
Any other ethnic group			
Arab	7	0.6%	0.6%
Other	22	1.8%	1.9%
BASE: 987 Respondents			

What is your religion?			
No religion	597	60.5%	52.3%
Buddhist	14	1.4%	1.2%
Christian (including Church of England, Catholic, Protestant and all other Christian denominations)	293	29.7%	25.7%
Hindu	11	1.1%	1.0%
Jewish	30	3.0%	2.6%
Muslim	29	2.9%	2.5%
Sikh	2	0.2%	0.2%
Any other Religion	11	1.1%	1.0%
No response	155	-	13.6%
BASE: 987 Respondents			
Which of the following options best describes how you think of yourself?			
Heterosexual or straight	790	80.0%	69.2%
Gay or lesbian	47	4.8%	4.1%
Bisexual	21	2.1%	1.8%
Other	7	0.7%	0.6%
Prefer not to say	122	12.4%	10.7%
No Response	155	-	13.6%
BASE: 884Respondents			
Are you pregnant?			
Yes	11	1.2%	1.0%
No	822	93.0%	72.0%
Prefer not to say	51	5.8%	4.5%
No response	258	-	22.4%
BASE: 808 Respondents			
Have you had a baby in the last 12 months?			
Yes	24	3.0%	2.1%
No	734	90.8%	64.3%
Prefer not to say	50	6.2%	4.4%
No response	334	-	29.2%
BASE: 961 Respondents			
What is your legal marital or civil partnership status?			
Never married and never registered a civil partnership	316	32.9%	27.7%
Married or in a civil partnership	500	52.0%	43.8%
Widowed or surviving partner from a civil partnership	44	4.6%	3.9%
Divorced or legally dissolved from a civil partnership	91	9.5%	8.0%
Separated but still legally married or in a civil partnership	10	1.0%	0.9%
No response	181	-	15.8%
Are you a refugee or an asylum seeker?			
A Refugee	6	-	0.5%
An Asylum Seeker	2	-	0.2%
If so, what country or region are you a refugee/asylum seeker from?			

What is your main language?			
English	933	-	81.7%
Other	60	-	5.3%

Table 7: Demographic Profile
Showing the composition of the Dog Control PSPO New Requirement Consultation sample

Total Survey Respondents:614		Proportion %	
		Base Respondents	Survey Respondents
BASE: 450 Respondents			
At birth, were you described as			
Male	256	56.9%	41.7%
Female	185	41.1%	30.1%
Intersex	0	0.0%	0.0%
Prefer not to say	9	2.0%	1.5%
No response	164	-	26.7%
BASE: 469 Respondents			
Which of the following describes how you think of yourself?			
Male	205	45.6%	33.4%
Female	263	58.4%	42.8%
Non-Binary	1	0.2%	0.2%
No response	145	-	23.6%
BASE: 530 Respondents			
What is your age?			
16-24	2	0.4%	0.3%
25-44	162	30.6%	26.4%
45-64	238	44.9%	38.8%
65+	113	21.3%	18.4%
Prefer not to say	15	2.8%	2.4%
No Response	84	-	13.7%
BASE: 100 Respondents			
Disability			
No disabilities	418	-	68.1%
Do you have any of the following conditions which have lasted or expected to last for at least 12 months?			
Blindness or partial loss of sight	9	9.0%	1.5%
Learning disability	2	2.0%	0.3%
Physical disability	16	16.0%	2.6%
Mental ill health	14	14.0%	2.3%
Long term illness or condition	35	35.0%	5.7%
Developmental disorder	2	2.0%	0.3%
Deafness or partial loss of hearing	16	16.0%	2.6%
Any other disabilities	6	6.0%	1.0%
BASE: 360 Respondents			
Ethnicity			
What is your country of birth?			
Australian & New Zealand	10	2.8%	1.6%
Canada	3	0.8%	0.5%
Cypriot	2	0.6%	0.3%
France	2	0.6%	0.3%
Germany	4	1.1%	0.7%
India	3	0.8%	0.5%
Iran	2	0.6%	0.3%
Ireland	9	2.5%	1.5%
Italy	6	1.7%	1.0%
Nigeria	2	0.6%	0.3%

Other African	4	1.1%	0.7%
Other Asian	7	1.9%	1.1%
Other EU	7	1.9%	1.1%
Other European	5	1.4%	0.8%
Spain	3	0.8%	0.5%
South American	4	1.1%	0.7%
United Kingdom	277	76.9%	45.1%
United States	6	1.7%	1.0%
Not Specified	4	1.1%	0.7%
Blank	254	-	41.4%
BASE: 495 Respondents			
How would you describe your ethnic group?			
White			
English, Welsh, Scottish, N Irish, British	341	68.9%	55.5%
Irish	13	2.6%	2.1%
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	3	0.6%	0.5%
Any other white background	63	12.7%	10.3%
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British			0.0%
African	5	1.0%	0.8%
Caribbean	9	1.8%	1.5%
Any other Black / African / Caribbean / Black British ethnic background	6	1.2%	1.0%
Mixed / multiple ethnic backgrounds			0.0%
White and Black Caribbean	3	0.6%	0.5%
White and Black African	1	0.2%	0.2%
White and Asian	15	3.0%	2.4%
Any other mixed/multiple ethnic background	2	0.4%	0.3%
Any other Asian / Asian British ethnic background			0.0%
Asian / Asian British	3	0.6%	0.5%
Indian	5	1.0%	0.8%
Pakistani	12	2.4%	2.0%
Bangladeshi	2	0.4%	0.3%
Chinese	5	1.0%	0.8%
Any other ethnic group	**7**	1.4%	1.1%
BASE: 460 Respondents			
What is your religion?			
No religion	284	61.7%	46.3%
Buddhist	9	2.0%	1.5%
Christian (including Church of England, Catholic, Protestant and all other Christian denominations)	132	28.7%	21.5%
Hindu	7	1.5%	1.1%
Jewish	7	1.5%	1.1%
Muslim	9	2.0%	1.5%
Sikh	0	0.0%	0.0%
Any other Religion	12	2.6%	2.0%
No response	154	-	25.1%

BASE: 465 Respondents			
Which of the following options best describes how you think of yourself?			
Heterosexual or straight	375	80.6%	61.1%
Gay or lesbian	37	8.0%	6.0%
Bisexual	5	1.1%	0.8%
Other	3	0.6%	0.5%
Prefer not to say	45	9.7%	7.3%
No Response	150	-	24.3%
BASE: 422 Respondents			
Are you pregnant?			
Yes	1	0.2%	0.2%
No	396	93.8%	64.5%
Prefer not to say	25	5.9%	4.1%
No response	192	-	31.3%
BASE: 409 Respondents			
Have you had a baby in the last 12 months?			
Yes	14	3.4%	2.3%
No	371	90.7%	60.4%
Prefer not to say	24	5.9%	3.9%
No response	205	-	33.4%
BASE: 455 Respondents			
What is your legal marital or civil partnership status?			
Never married and never registered a civil partnership	157	34.5%	25.6%
Married or in a civil partnership	232	51.0%	37.8%
Widowed or surviving partner from a civil partnership	14	3.1%	2.3%
Divorced or legally dissolved from a civil partnership	48	10.5%	7.8%
Separated but still legally married or in a civil partnership	4	0.9%	0.7%
No response	159	-	
Are you a refugee or an asylum seeker?			
A Refugee	4	-	0.7%
An Asylum Seeker	1	-	0.2%
If so, what country or region are you a refugee/asylum seeker from?			
What is your main language?			
English	415	-	67.6%
Other	23	-	3.7%

A Council can use Public Space Protection Orders (PSPOs) to restrict the consumption of alcohol in a public space where it is associated with anti-social behaviour. The PSPO will allow Police and Authorised Officer to ask individuals to stop drinking and have their alcoholic drinks confiscated if they are deemed to be acting antisocially. It is an offence to fail to comply with a request to stop drinking or surrender alcohol in the area covered by the PSPO.

Data Protection and Privacy Statement (opens in a new window)

* = response required

Q1. There are 11 PSPOs in the borough governing the control of alcohol. Which ward do you live work or visit? *

- ❑ Bounds Green
- ❑ Bruce Grove
- ❑ Harringay
- ❑ Noel Park (PSPO covers the whole ward)
- ❑ Northumberland Park (PSPO covers the whole ward)
- ❑ St Ann's
- ❑ Seven Sisters (PSPO covers the whole ward)
- ❑ Tottenham Green (PSPO covers the whole ward)
- ❑ Tottenham Hale
- ❑ West Green Road
- ❑ Woodside - PSPO extension

Q2. Do you believe there is a problem with alcohol related anti-social behaviour in your ward? *

- ❑ Yes
- ❑ No
- ❑ Do not know

Q3. Do you think we should have a PSPO to deal with street drinking? *

- ❑ Yes
- ❑ No
- ❑ Do not know

Q4. Do you agree that the PSPO where you live, work or visit should be extended for a further 3 years? *

- ❑ Yes, remain in place
- ❑ Be varied (please explain below)
- ❑ Be discharged (ended as no longer needed)
- ❑ No opinion

Please add your comments her

Q5. Do you agree that the boundary of the PSPO in the Woodside Ward should be extended down Lordship Lane, to include Chapmans Green Park and the roads around the periphery of the park as shown on the map? *

- ❑ Yes, the boundary be extended
- ❑ Be varied further (please explain below)
- ❑ Not be extended
- ❑ No opinion

Please add your comments her

Q6. Do you agree that the PSPOs in the other wards should be extended for a further 3 years? *

- ❑ Yes, remain in place
- ❑ Be varied further (please explain below)
- ❑ Be discharged (ended as no longer needed)
- ❑ No opinion

Any comments please state her

Q8. Have you personally experienced any of the following anti-social behaviour incidents related to the consumption of alcohol in Haringey in the last 12 months? Please tick all that apply *

- ❑ Adults drinking alcohol
- ❑ Young people drinking alcohol
- ❑ Threatening or intimidating behaviour by adults
- ❑ Threatening or intimidating behaviour by young people
- ❑ Noisy disturbance by adults who have been drinking alcohol
- ❑ Noisy disturbance by young people who have been drinking alcohol
- ❑ Alcohol litter in the street or park, estate
- ❑ Have not experienced any of the above
- ❑ Prefer not to say

Q9. I am responding to this consultation as a:

- ❑ Resident
- ❑ Person who works in the borough
- ❑ A visitor to the borough
- ❑ Local business
- ❑ Councillor
- ❑ Representative of a community group or voluntary group

About You

We would be grateful if you could take the time to complete and return this form.
By collecting information on diversity, it helps us better understand the profile and characteristics of those living and using services provided by Haringey Council. We have a duty to pay due regard in all our actions, operations, and decisions to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunities and foster good relations between all groups of people.
The information you provide on this form is non-attributable to individuals and will be held in the strictest confidence to be used only for the purpose stated above.
Please go through it and tick all the categories that most accurately describe you.

What is your age?

- ❑ 16-24
- ❑ 25-44
- ❑ 45-64
- ❑ 65+
- ❑ Prefer not to say

Disability

In order to be able to identify and respond to specific needs, it is important that we gain an understanding of disabilities and long-term conditions.

Do you have any of the following conditions which have lasted or expected to last for at least 12 months?

- o No disabilities
- o Blindness or partial loss of sight
- o Learning disability
- o Physical disability
- o Mental ill health
- o Long term illness or condition
- o Developmental disorder
- o Deafness or partial loss of hearing

Any other disabilities, please write in her

What is your country of birth?

Ethnicity (Please tick the box that best describes your ethnic group)

White

- cEnglish, Welsh, Scottish, N Irish, British
- cIrish
- cGypsy or Irish Traveller

Any other white background, please tell us h

Black / African / Caribbean / Black British

- o African
- o Caribbean

Any other Black / African / Caribbean / Black British ethnic background, please write

Mixed / multiple ethnic backgrounds
- White and Black Caribbean
- White and Black African
- White and Asian

Any other mixed/multiple ethnic background, please write in here:

Asian / Asian British
- Indian
- Pakistani
- Bangladeshi
- Chinese

Any other Asian / Asian British ethnic background, please write in below:

Any other ethnic group
- Arab

Any other ethnic group, please write in:

At birth, were you described as: (Please tick one option)

- Male
- Female
- Intersex
- Prefer not to say

Which of the following describes how you think of yourself? (Please tick one option)
- Male
- Female

In another way, please write here:

What is your religion? This question is voluntary. Please tick as appropriate:

- No religion
- Buddhist
- Christian (including Church of England, Catholic, Protestant and all other Christian denominations)
- Hindu
- Jewish
- Muslim
- Sikh

Any other religion, please write in below:

Which of the following options best describes how you think of yourself?

- oHeterosexual or straight
- oGay or lesbian
- oBisexual
- oOther
- oPrefer not to say

Pregnancy and maternity: Are you pregnant? (Please tick one box)

- oYes
- oNo
- oPrefer not to say

Have you had a baby in the last 12 months? (Please tick one box)

- oYes
- oNo
- oPrefer not to say

What is your legal marital or civil partnership status? Please tick one box

- o Never married and never registered a civil partnership
- o Married or in a civil partnership
- o Widowed or surviving partner from a civil partnership
- o Divorced or legally dissolved from a civil partnership
- o Separated but still legally married or in a civil partnership

Are you a refugee or an asylum seeker? Please tick one box

- o A Refugee
- o An Asylum Seeker

If so, what country or region are you a refugee/asylum seeker from?

What is your main language?

- o English

Other, please write here (including British Sign Language):

Thank you for completing this survey

Consultation on Dog Control Public Space Protection Order 2020

You can read more about the Haringey Data Protection and Privacy Statement; https://www.haringey.gov.uk/contact/privacy-statement or see the attached sheet outlining this information at the end of this consultation document.

*** = response required**

Are you a Haringey resident? *
- ❑ Yes
- ❑ No

Are you a dog owner? * (only tick one box)
- ❑ Yes
- ❑ No
- ❑ Previously owned a dog
- ❑ Thinking of getting a dog

Fouling of land by dogs

It is a condition that dog owners or the person in charge of a dog are required to remove faeces (dog mess) from any land which is open to the air and to which the public have access. Do you think that this condition should* (only tick one box)
- ❑ Remain in place
- ❑ Be discharged (ended as no longer needed)
- ❑ No opinion
- ❑ Be varied (please explain below)

Dogs excluded

Dogs are excluded from fenced play areas set aside for children and marked sports pitches when in use. The full list is outlined in the Order. Do you think this condition should* (only tick one box)
- ❑ Remain in place
- ❑ Be discharged (ended as no longer needed)
- ❑ No opinion
- ❑ Be varied (please explain below)

Dogs on leads

Dogs must be on a lead in churchyards, graveyards, highways, grass verges, green space less than half a hectare* (only tick one box)

- ❑ Remain in place
- ❑ Be discharged (ended as no longer needed)
- ❑ No opinion
- ❑ Be varied (please explain below)

Dogs on lead by direction

It is a condition that dog owners put their dog on a lead when directed to do so by an authorised officer. This applies to any land to which the public have access and where a dog is considered to be out of control. Do you think this condition should* (only tick one box)

- ❑ Remain in place
- ❑ Be discharged (ended as no longer needed)
- ❑ No opinion
- ❑ Be varied (please explain below)

Maximum number of dogs

The maximum number of dogs that can be walked by one person is six (this applies to any land open to the air to which the public have access). Do you think this condition should* (only tick one box)

- ❑ Remain in place
- ❑ Be discharged (ended as no longer needed)
- ❑ No opinion
- ❑ Be varied (please explain below)

Do you have any other ideas on how we can improve dog control in Haringey? Please let us know your idea/s in the box below

Are you responding to this consultation as a:

- ❑ Resident
- ❑ Business
- ❑ Organisation (please state the name of your organisation below)

About You

We would be grateful if you could take the time to complete and return this form.

By collecting information on diversity, it helps us better understand the profile and characteristics of those living and using services provided by Haringey Council. We have a duty to pay due regard in all our actions, operations, and decisions to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunities and foster good relations between all groups of people.

The information you provide on this form is non-attributable to individuals and will be held in the strictest confidence to be used only for the purpose stated above.

Please go through it and tick all the categories that most accurately describe you.

What is your age?

- ❑ 16-24
- ❑ 25-44
- ❑ 45-64
- ❑ 65+
- ❑ Prefer not to say

Disability

In order to be able to identify and respond to specific needs, it is important that we gain an understanding of disabilities and long-term conditions.

Do you have any of the following conditions which have lasted or expected to last for at least 12 months? Tick all that apply)

- o No disabilities
- o Blindness or partial loss of sight
- o Learning disability
- o Physical disability
- o Mental ill health
- o Long term illness or condition
- o Developmental disorder
- o Deafness or partial loss of hearing

Ethnicity (Please tick the box that best describes your ethnic group)

White

- English, Welsh, Scottish, N Irish, British
- Irish
- Gypsy or Irish Traveller

Any other white background, please tell us more:

Black / African / Caribbean / Black British

- African
- Caribbean

Any other Black / African / Caribbean / Black British ethnic background, please write

Mixed / multiple ethnic backgrounds

- White and Black Caribbean
- White and Black African
- White and Asian

Any other mixed/multiple ethnic background, please write in here:

Asian / Asian British

- Indian
- Pakistani
- Bangladeshi
- Chinese

Any other Asian / Asian British ethnic background, please write in below:

Any other ethnic group

- Arab

Any other ethnic group, please write in:

At birth, were you described as: (Please tick one option)

- Male
- Female
- Intersex
- Prefer not to say

Which of the following describes how you think of yourself? (Please tick one option)

- Male
- Female

In another way, please write here:

What is your religion? This question is voluntary. Please tick as appropriate:

- o No religion
- o Buddhist
- o Christian (including Church of England, Catholic, Protestant and all other Christian denominations)
- o Hindu
- o Jewish
- o Muslim
- o Sikh

Any other religion, please write in below: [ ]

Which of the following options best describes how you think of yourself?

- oHeterosexual or straight
- oGay or lesbian
- oBisexual
- oOther
- oPrefer not to say

Pregnancy and maternity: Are you pregnant? (Please tick one box)

- oYes
- oNo
- oPrefer not to say

Have you had a baby in the last 12 months? (Please tick one box)

- oYes
- oNo
- oPrefer not to say

What is your legal marital or civil partnership status? (Please tick one box)

- o Never married and never registered a civil partnership
- o Married or in a civil partnership
- o Widowed or surviving partner from a civil partnership
- o Divorced or legally dissolved from a civil partnership
- o Separated but still legally married or in a civil partnership

Are you a refugee or an asylum seeker? Please tick one box

- o A Refugee
- o An Asylum Seeker

If so, what country or region are you a refugee/asylum seeker from?

[ ]

What is your main language?

- o English

Other, please write here (including British Sign Language):

[ ]

Thank you for completing this survey

Consultation on Dog Control Public Space Protection Order (PSPO) NEW REQUIREMENT 2020

You can read more about the Haringey Data Protection and Privacy Statement; https://www.haringey.gov.uk/contact/privacy-statement or see the attached sheet outlining this information at the end of this consultation document.

Most dog owners are responsible and clean up after their dog. However, a minority of irresponsible dog owners fail to clean up after their dog. We are therefore consulting on a new requirement. It will require **"A person in charge of a dog on land to which this order applies to produce on request a means or device to pick up dog faeces deposited by that dog".**

Nothing in this requirement applies to a person who:

a) **is registered as a blind person in a register compiled under Section 29 of the National Assistance Act 1948 or**
b) **has a disability which affects his/her mobility, manual dexterity, physical coordination or ability to lift, carry, or otherwise move everyday objects, in respect of a dog trained by a "prescribed charity" and upon which he/she relies for assistance**

Q1. Are you a Haringey resident? *

Yes ❑
No ❑

Q2. Are you a dog owner? * (only tick one box)

Yes ❑
No ❑
Previously owned a dog ❑
Thinking of getting a dog ❑

Q3. Person in charge of a dog can pick up dog faeces (poo) using dog poop bags, other bags, pooper scooper or other means.
Should a person in charge of a dog carry a means or device to pick up dog faeces? *

Yes ❑
No ❑
No opinion ❑

If you wish to give a reason for your answer, please complete the text box below.

About You

We would be grateful if you could take the time to complete and return this form.
By collecting information on diversity, it helps us better understand the profile and characteristics of those living and using services provided by Haringey Council. We have a duty to pay due regard in all our actions, operations, and decisions to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunities and foster good relations between all groups of people.
The information you provide on this form is non-attributable to individuals and will be held in the strictest confidence to be used only for the purpose stated above.
Please go through it and tick all the categories that most accurately describe you.

What is your age?

- ❑ 16-24
- ❑ 25-44
- ❑ 45-64
- ❑ 65+
- ❑ Prefer not to say

Disability

In order to be able to identify and respond to specific needs, it is important that we gain an understanding of disabilities and long-term conditions.

Do you have any of the following conditions which have lasted or expected to last for at least 12 months?

- o No disabilities
- o Blindness or partial loss of sight
- o Learning disability
- o Physical disability
- o Mental ill health
- o Long term illness or condition
- o Developmental disorder
- o Deafness or partial loss of hearing

Any other disabilities, please write in her

What is your country of birth?

Ethnicity (Please tick the box that best describes your ethnic group)

White

- cEnglish, Welsh, Scottish, N Irish, British
- cIrish
- cGypsy or Irish Traveller

Any other white background, please tell us he

Black / African / Caribbean / Black British

- o African

- Caribbean

Any other Black / African / Caribbean / Black British ethnic background, please write

Mixed / multiple ethnic backgrounds

- White and Black Caribbean
- White and Black African
- White and Asian

Any other mixed/multiple ethnic background, please write in here:

Asian / Asian British

- Indian
- Pakistani
- Bangladeshi
- Chinese

Any other Asian / Asian British ethnic background, please write in below:

Any other ethnic group

- Arab

Any other ethnic group, please write in:

At birth, were you described as: (Please tick one option)

- Male
- Female
- Intersex
- Prefer not to say

Which of the following describes how you think of yourself? (Please tick one option)

- Male
- Female

In another way, please write here:

What is your religion? This question is voluntary. Please tick as appropriate:

- No religion
- Buddhist
- Christian (including Church of England, Catholic, Protestant and all other Christian denominations)
- Hindu
- Jewish
- Muslim

- Sikh

Any other religion, please write in below

Which of the following options best describes how you think of yourself?

- Heterosexual or straight
- Gay or lesbian
- Bisexual
- Other
- Prefer not to say

Pregnancy and maternity: Are you pregnant? (Please tick one box)

- Yes
- No
- Prefer not to say

Have you had a baby in the last 12 months? (Please tick one box)

- Yes
- No
- Prefer not to say

What is your legal marital or civil partnership status? Please tick one box

- Never married and never registered a civil partnership
- Married or in a civil partnership
- Widowed or surviving partner from a civil partnership
- Divorced or legally dissolved from a civil partnership
- Separated but still legally married or in a civil partnership

Are you a refugee or an asylum seeker? Please tick one box

- A Refugee
- An Asylum Seeker

If so, what country or region are you a refugee/asylum seeker from?

What is your main language?

- English

Other, please write here (including British Sign Language):

Thank you for completing this survey


Public Space Protection Order
Consultation
Have your say on
Dog & Alcohol Control Public Space Protection Orders
Tackling issues associated with alcohol and irresponsible dog owners
Consultation 7th July 2020 to 17th August 2020
www.haringey.gov.uk/pspo-2020
Haringey
LONDON

Environment & Neighbourhoods
Director – Stephen McDonnell

Haringey LONDON

All Residents
London Borough of Haringey

Date: 1st July 2020
Your ref:
Our ref: PSPO/Residents

Dear Resident,

Anti-Social Behaviour Crime and Policing Act 2014
Consultation on a proposed extension of Public Space Protection Orders (PSPO) - Dog Control & Alcohol Control

I am writing to seek resident views on the Council's proposal to extend Public Space Protection Orders for a further three years.

Dog Control Public Space Protection Order
This Order is intended to promote responsible dog ownership. The Order will require a person in charge of a dog to clean up after their dog. It will also give the council and Police Officers powers to direct an owner to place a dog which is out of control on a lead. The Order will require dogs to be on leads in sensitive areas such as burial grounds and peace gardens and exclude dogs from fenced children's play areas. In addition to this we are also consulting on the number of dogs that one person can walk.

There is a separate consultation where we are seeking your views on a new requirement, which would require a person in charge of a dog to carry bags or a means to pick up dog faeces.

Alcohol Public Space Protection Orders
We are seeking the views of all residents on the proposal to extend eleven Public Space Protection Orders in regards to the control of alcohol. These Orders prohibit people drinking in a public place or carrying alcohol in open cans in the restricted areas. We are also seeking the views of residents living in the Woodside Ward on the extension of the Woodside Ward PSPO.

Details of the Orders, the ward locations and consultation forms can be found on the Council's website. We hope to consult widely and I would urge all residents to complete the online consultation forms which can be found on the Council's website using the attached link www.haringey.gov.uk/pspo-2020

This will enable us to take your views into consideration.

This consultation will take place from the **7th July 2020 to the 17th August 2020.**

Yours faithfully,

Allison Pibworth
ASB Manager (North)

Enforcement Team
Haringey Council
PO Box 51452
London N22 8HQ

T 020 8489 4620
E Allison.pibworth@haringey.gov.uk

Environment & Neighbourhoods
Director – Stephen McDonnell

Haringey LONDON

All Licensed Premises
London Borough of Haringey

Date: 1st July 2020
Your ref:
Our ref: PSPO/Licensed Premises

Dear Licensee,

Anti-Social Behaviour Crime and Policing Act 2014
Consultation on a Proposal to extend a Public Space Protection Order (PSPO) - Alcohol Control

I am writing to seek your views, on the Council's Proposal to extend eleven Public Space Protection Orders for a further three years aimed at restricting the consumption of alcohol in a public place. The PSPO also prohibits individuals from carrying alcohol in open cans within the restricted area.

A PSPO cannot be used to restrict the consumption of alcohol where the premises or its curtilage (a beer garden) or pavement area is licensed for the supply of alcohol.

The PSPO will not affect events which take place in a public place which have been authorised by a premises licence or a temporary event notice.

However, a person could risk prosecution if they strayed beyond the legal boundary of a licensed premises or a pavement licence and they do not stop drinking if asked to do so by those empowered to enforce the Order.
There is new legislation, The Business and Planning Act 2020 which allows for a new "Pavement Licence" to make it easier for premises serving food and drink such as bars, restaurants and pubs to seat and serve customers outdoors. The licensee will still be responsible to ensure that customers do not cause nuisance or breach the terms of the licence. The PSPO may be enforced if customers of a licensed premises engage in anti-social behaviour, or are street drinking outside of the licensed hours. This legislation has been specifically put in place to assist licensed premises during the COVID-19 pandemic until 30 September 2021 unless extended by the Secretary of State after which the terms of the PSPO would be in force in regards to street drinking at any time.

Details of Orders, the ward locations and consultation forms can be found on the Council's website. We hope to consult widely and we are urging all interested parties to submit their views by completing the online consultation form by using the attached link www.haringey.gov.uk/pspo-2020 We hope you will take the time to complete the consultation.

The consultation will take place **7th July 2020 to the 17th August 2020.**

Yours faithfully,

Allison Pibworth
ASB Manager (North)